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#### Abstract

In this paper, we give the definition of the concept of unit hyper-dual sphere. We take a subset of this sphere and show that each curve on this subset represents two ruled surfaces in three dimensional real vector space such that these ruled surfaces have a common base curve and their rulings are perpendicular. Finally, we give some examples to illustrate the applications of our main results.
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## 1. Introduction

Clifford introduced the algebra of dual numbers $\mathbb{D}$ as an extension of real numbers $\mathbb{R}$ [2]. A dual vector is an ordered triple of dual numbers, and the set of all dual vectors is denoted by $\mathbb{D}^{3}$. Dual vectors were first applied in mechanism by Study [19] and Kotelnikov [11]. There exists a one-to-one correspondence (known as E. Study mapping) between the directed lines in 3-dimensional real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and the points of unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$ (the set of all unit dual vectors).
The algebra of hyper-dual numbers $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ was first defined by Fike to overcome some derivative problems in the complex-step derivative approximation [6, 7]. Afterwards, this number system is used in derivative calculations [6-9]. Cohen and Shoham showed that a hyper-dual number consists of two dual numbers [3]. Futhermore, they interpreted hyperdual numbers in the sense of Study [19] and Kotelnikov [11], and they used this number system in the motion of multi-body systems [3-5]. Hyper-dual numbers are suitable for software, analysis and design of airspace systems, and robot manipulators [4, 7].

A ruled surface is described as a surface swept out by a straight line moving along a curve [15]. The parametric representation of a ruled surface consists of two curves in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ similar to a curve on unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$. Hence, there exists a one-to-one correspendence between the dual curves on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$ and the ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ [20]. Veldkamp gave the

[^0]applications of the dual curves on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$ to theoretical space kinematic [20]. Afterwards, these curves have been used in motion of the robot end-effector [14, 17], in kinematic formulations of the lines trajectories $[12,13]$ and in kinematic generations of the ruled surfaces [18].

In this paper, we give some basic concepts of hyper-dual numbers. We define unit hyper-dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$. Using E. Study mapping, we show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the points of $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$ (which is a subset of unit hyper-dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}}^{2}$ ) and any two intersecting perpendicular directed lines in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We give the definition of hyper-dual curves on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}}^{2}$. By interpreting these curves in the sense of Veldkamp [20], we show that each hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$ represents two ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. It is observed that these ruled surfaces intersect along a common base curve and their rulings are perpendicular. It is also observed that each dual curve on unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$ represents a ruled surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ while each hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{\mathbb { D }}_{1}}^{2}$ represents two ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that these two ruled surfaces intersect along a common base curve. Examples of ruled surfaces are given to illustrate the applications of our results.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, definitions and some algebraic properties of the concepts of dual numbers and hyper-dual numbers will be given to provide a background.

### 2.1. Dual numbers

The set of all dual numbers is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}=\left\{A=a+\varepsilon a^{*}: a, a^{*} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the dual unit satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon \neq 0, \varepsilon^{2}=0 \text { and } r \varepsilon=\varepsilon r \text { for all } r \in \mathbb{R} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The square root of a dual number $A=a+\varepsilon a^{*}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{A}=\sqrt{a}+\varepsilon \frac{a^{*}}{2 \sqrt{a}}, \text { for } a>0 \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taylor series expansion of a dual function $f\left(x+\varepsilon x^{*}\right)$ about a point $x+\varepsilon x^{*}=a+\varepsilon a^{*} \in \mathbb{D}$ can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(a+\varepsilon a^{*}\right)=f(a)+\varepsilon a^{*} f^{\prime}(a), \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime represents differentiation with respect to $x$ [20], i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(x)=\frac{d}{d x} f(x) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of dual vectors is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{D}^{3}=\left\{\hat{A}=\boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}: \boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}^{*} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and each element $\hat{A}$ of $\mathbb{D}^{3}$ is called a dual vector.
The scalar and vector products of any dual vectors $\hat{A}=\boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}$ and $\hat{B}=\boldsymbol{b}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{b}^{*}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\hat{A}, \hat{B}\rangle_{D} & =\langle\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}^{*}\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}^{*}, \boldsymbol{b}\right\rangle\right),  \tag{2.7}\\
\hat{A} \times_{D} \hat{B} & =\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b}+\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{a} \times \boldsymbol{b}^{*}+\boldsymbol{a}^{*} \times \boldsymbol{b}\right), \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

where " $\langle$,$\rangle " and " \times$ " denote, respectively, the usual scalar and vector products in 3dimensional real vector space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

The modulus of the dual vector $\hat{A}=\boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\hat{A}|_{D}=\sqrt{\langle\hat{A}, \hat{A}\rangle_{D}}=|\boldsymbol{a}|+\varepsilon \frac{\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}^{*}\right\rangle}{|\boldsymbol{a}|} \text {, for }|\boldsymbol{a}| \neq 0 . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $|\hat{A}|_{D}=1$ (i.e., $|\boldsymbol{a}|=1$ and $\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{a}^{*}\right\rangle=0$ ), then $\hat{A}=\boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}$ is called a unit dual vector.
Unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$, consisting of all unit dual vectors, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}=\left\{\hat{A}=\boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}:|\hat{A}|_{D}=1, \hat{A} \in \mathbb{D}^{3}\right\} . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2.1. [E. Study Mapping] Each point on unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$ represents a directed line in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In other words, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the points of unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$ and the directed lines in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ [19].

The scalar product of any unit dual vectors $\hat{A}=\boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}$ and $\hat{B}=\boldsymbol{b}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{b}^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\hat{A}, \hat{B}\rangle_{D}=\cos \varphi=\cos \theta-\varepsilon \theta^{*} \sin \theta \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi=\theta+\varepsilon \theta^{*}$ is a dual angle [19]. If $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are the directed lines in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ corresponding, respectively, to the unit dual vectors $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$, then $\theta$ is the angle between the real vectors $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{b}$, and $\left|\theta^{*}\right|$ is the shortest distance between $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$, see Fig. 1.


Figure 1. Geometric representation of dual angle $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$
The vector product of any unit dual vectors $\hat{A}=\boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}$ and $\hat{B}=\boldsymbol{b}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{b}^{*}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A} \times_{D} \hat{B}=\hat{N} \sin \varphi, \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Taylor series expansion of $\sin \varphi$ is $\sin \varphi=\sin \theta+\varepsilon \theta^{*} \cos \theta$ and where $\hat{N}=$ $\frac{\hat{A} \times_{D} \hat{B}}{\left|\hat{A} \times_{D} \hat{B}\right|_{D}}$ is the common perpendicular direction vector to the dual vectors $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{B}$, directed from $\boldsymbol{a}$ to $\boldsymbol{b}$. For further information about dual numbers, see [1, 2, 5, 20].

### 2.2. Hyper-dual numbers

The set of all hyper-dual numbers is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\mathbb{D}}=\left\{\mathbb{A}=a_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}: a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3} \in \mathbb{R}\right\}, \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the dual units $\varepsilon_{1}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{1}^{2}=\varepsilon_{2}^{2}=\left(\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\right)^{2}=0 \text { and } \varepsilon_{1} \neq \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{1} \neq 0, \varepsilon_{2} \neq 0, \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{1} \neq 0 \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The algebra of $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ can be embedded in the real exterior algebra $\wedge V$ where $V$ is a real vector space with an orthogonal basis $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, e_{4}$, as follows: let $\varepsilon_{1}=e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{2}=e_{3} \wedge e_{4}$. Then, one can recover the algebra of the $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ as this 4-dimensional subalgebra of the exterior algebra $\wedge V$ that is spanned by $\left\{1, \varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\right\}$.

Addition and multiplication of any hyper-dual numbers $\mathbb{A}=a_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}$ and $\mathbb{B}=b_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} b_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} b_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} b_{3}$ are defined, respectively, as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}+\mathbb{B}=\left(a_{0}+b_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{1}\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\left(a_{2}+b_{2}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(a_{3}+b_{3}\right), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{A} \mathbb{B} & =\left(a_{0} b_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{1}\left(a_{0} b_{1}+a_{1} b_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{2}\left(a_{0} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{0}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(a_{0} b_{3}+a_{1} b_{2}+a_{2} b_{1}+a_{3} b_{0}\right) . \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

The multiplicative-inverse of a hyper-dual number $\mathbb{A}=a_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}^{-1}=\frac{1}{\mathbb{A}}=\frac{1}{a_{0}}-\varepsilon_{1} \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}^{2}}-\varepsilon_{2} \frac{a_{2}}{a_{0}^{2}}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(-\frac{a_{3}}{a_{0}^{2}}+\frac{2 a_{1} a_{2}}{a_{0}^{3}}\right), \quad \text { if } a_{0} \neq 0 . \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, a hyper-dual number in the form $\mathbb{A}=0+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}=\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}$ does not have an inverse.

Taylor series expansion of a hyper-dual function $f\left(x_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} x_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} x_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} x_{3}\right)$ about a point $x_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} x_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} x_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} x_{3}=a_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3} \in \tilde{\mathbb{D}}$ can be given as

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(a_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}\right) & =f\left(a_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1} f^{\prime}\left(a_{0}\right)+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2} f^{\prime}\left(a_{0}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2}\left(a_{3} f^{\prime}\left(a_{0}\right)+a_{1} a_{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(a_{0}\right)\right), \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

where the prime represents differentiation with respect to $x_{0}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{d}{d x_{0}} f\left(x_{0}\right), \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

see [6-9].
A hyper-dual number $\mathbb{A}=a_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}$ can be given in terms of two dual numbers as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}=A+\varepsilon^{*} A^{*} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon_{1}=\varepsilon, \varepsilon_{2}=\varepsilon^{*}$ and $A=a_{0}+\varepsilon a_{1}, A^{*}=a_{2}+\varepsilon a_{3} \in \mathbb{D}$.
The addition and multiplication rules of two hyper-dual numbers $\mathbb{A}=a_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+$ $\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}=A+\varepsilon^{*} A^{*}$ and $\mathbb{B}=b_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} b_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} b_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} b_{3}=B+\varepsilon^{*} B^{*}$ given, respectively, by Eqs. (2.15) and (2.16) can be expressed differently as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{A}+\mathbb{B} & =(A+B)+\varepsilon^{*}\left(A^{*}+B^{*}\right),  \tag{2.21}\\
\mathbb{A} \mathbb{B} & =A B+\varepsilon^{*}\left(A B^{*}+A^{*} B\right) \tag{2.22}
\end{align*}
$$

An alternative representation of the multiplicative-inverse of a hyper-dual number $\mathbb{A}=$ $a_{0}+\varepsilon_{1} a_{1}+\varepsilon_{2} a_{2}+\varepsilon_{1} \varepsilon_{2} a_{3}=A+\varepsilon^{*} A^{*}$ given by Eq. (2.17) can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{A}^{-1}=\frac{1}{A}-\varepsilon^{*} \frac{A^{*}}{A^{2}}, \text { for } a_{0} \neq 0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that a hyper-dual number $\mathbb{A}=A+\varepsilon^{*} A^{*}$ providing $A=0+\varepsilon a_{1}=\varepsilon a_{1}$ does not have an inverse.

If we extend the real vectors $\boldsymbol{a}$ and $\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{a}$ in a dual vector $\hat{A}=\boldsymbol{a}+\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{p} \times \boldsymbol{a})$, respectively, to the dual vectors $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{P} \times{ }_{D} \hat{A}$ then we obtain the hyper-dual vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*}\left(\hat{P} \times_{D} \hat{A}\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Scalar and vector products of any hyper-dual vectors $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*}\left(\hat{P} \times_{D} \hat{A}\right)$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}=$ $\hat{B}+\varepsilon^{*}\left(\hat{K} \times{ }_{D} \hat{B}\right)$ can be given, respectively, as

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\tilde{\mathbb{A}}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}\rangle_{H D} & =|\hat{A}|_{D}|\hat{B}|_{D} \cos \tilde{\varphi}  \tag{2.25}\\
\widetilde{\mathbb{A}} \times_{H D} \widetilde{\mathbb{B}} & =|\hat{A}|_{D}|\hat{B}|_{D} \boldsymbol{n} \sin \tilde{\varphi} \tag{2.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a hyper-dual angle and $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the common perpendicular direction vector to the hyper-dual vectors $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}$, directed from $\hat{A}$ to $\hat{B}$. For further information about hyper-dual numbers, see [3-5].

## 3. Hyper-dual numbers and ruled surfaces

In this section, we express some basic concepts of hyper-dual numbers. Using these expressions, we define a subset $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$ of unit hyper-dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}}^{2}$ such that each element of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$ represents two intersecting and perpendicular directed lines in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Moreover, we show that each hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$ represents two ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. These ruled surfaces have a common base curve and their rulings are perpendicular.

### 3.1. Some basic concepts of hyper-dual numbers

The square root of a hyper-dual number $\mathbb{A}=A+\varepsilon^{*} A^{*}$ can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\mathbb{A}}=\sqrt{A}+\varepsilon^{*} \frac{A^{*}}{2 \sqrt{A}}, \text { for } a_{0}>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\mathbb{A}}=\sqrt{a_{0}}+\varepsilon \frac{a_{1}}{2 \sqrt{a_{0}}}+\varepsilon^{*} \frac{a_{2}}{2 \sqrt{a_{0}}}+\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*}\left(\frac{a_{3}}{2 \sqrt{a_{0}}}-\frac{a_{1} a_{2}}{4 a_{0} \sqrt{a_{0}}}\right), \text { for } a_{0}>0 . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of all hyper-dual vectors is defined to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^{3} & =\left\{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}: \hat{A}, \hat{A}^{*} \in \mathbb{D}^{3}\right\}  \tag{3.3}\\
& =\left\{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}+\varepsilon^{*} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}+\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*} \boldsymbol{a}_{3}: \boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}, \boldsymbol{a}_{3} \in \mathbb{R}^{3}\right\}, \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

and each element $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ of $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^{3}$ is called a hyper-dual vector.
The scalar and vector products of any hyper-dual vectors $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}+$ $\varepsilon^{*} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}+\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*} \boldsymbol{a}_{3}$ and $\widetilde{\mathbb{B}}=\hat{B}+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{B}^{*}=\boldsymbol{b}_{0}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{b}_{1}+\varepsilon^{*} \boldsymbol{b}_{2}+\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*} \boldsymbol{b}_{3}$ are defined, respectively, by

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}, \tilde{\mathbb{B}}\rangle_{H D} & =\langle\hat{A}, \hat{B}\rangle_{D}+\varepsilon^{*}\left(\left\langle\hat{A}, \hat{B}^{*}\right\rangle_{D}+\left\langle\hat{A}^{*}, \hat{B}\right\rangle_{D}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& =\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right\rangle\right)+\varepsilon^{*}\left(\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{2}, \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right\rangle\right) \\
& +\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*}\left(\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{b}_{3}\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{b}_{2}\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{2}, \boldsymbol{b}_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{3}, \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right\rangle\right),  \tag{3.6}\\
\widetilde{\mathbb{A}} \times_{H D} \widetilde{\mathbb{B}} & =\hat{A} \times_{D} \hat{B}+\varepsilon^{*}\left(\hat{A} \times_{D} \hat{B}^{*}+\hat{A}^{*} \times_{D} \hat{B}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& =\boldsymbol{a}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{0}+\varepsilon\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{1}+\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right)+\varepsilon^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{2}+\boldsymbol{a}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right) \\
& +\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*}\left(\boldsymbol{a}_{0} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{3}+\boldsymbol{a}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{2}+\boldsymbol{a}_{2} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{1}+\boldsymbol{a}_{3} \times \boldsymbol{b}_{0}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

It is obvious that $\langle\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}\rangle_{H D}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} \times_{H D} \widetilde{\mathbb{B}}$ are, respectively, a hyper-dual number and a hyper-dual vector.
The norm of a hyper-dual vector $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}+\varepsilon^{*} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}+\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*} \boldsymbol{a}_{3}$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}} & =\langle\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}\rangle_{H D}=|\hat{A}|_{D}^{2}+2 \varepsilon^{*}\left\langle\hat{A}, \hat{A}^{*}\right\rangle_{D}  \tag{3.9}\\
& =\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\right|^{2}+2\left(\varepsilon\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{1}\right\rangle+\varepsilon^{*}\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}\right\rangle+\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*}\left(\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{3}\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}\right\rangle\right)\right) . \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

The modulus (i.e., square root of the norm) of the hyper-dual vector $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}$ is also defined to be

$$
\begin{align*}
|\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}|_{H D} & =\sqrt{\langle\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}, \widetilde{\mathbb{A}}\rangle_{H D}}=|\hat{A}|_{D}+\varepsilon^{*} \frac{\left\langle\hat{A}, \hat{A}^{*}\right\rangle_{D}}{|\hat{A}|_{D}}  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\right|+\varepsilon \frac{\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{1}\right\rangle}{\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\right|}+\varepsilon^{*} \frac{\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}\right\rangle}{\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\right|} \\
& +\varepsilon \varepsilon^{*}\left(\frac{\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{3}\right\rangle}{\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\right|}+\frac{\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{1}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}\right\rangle}{\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\right|}-\frac{\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}, \boldsymbol{a}_{2}\right\rangle}{\left|\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\right|^{3}}\right), \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|a_{0}\right| \neq 0$.
If $|\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}|_{H D}=1$ (i.e., $|\hat{A}|_{D}=1$ and $\left\langle\hat{A}, \hat{A}^{*}\right\rangle_{D}=0$ ), then $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}$ is called a unit hyper-dual vector.
Definition 3.1. [Unit hyper-dual sphere] Unit hyper-dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$, consisting of all unit hyper-dual vectors, is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}}^{2}=\left\{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}:|\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}|_{H D}=1 ; \quad \hat{A}, \hat{A}^{*} \in \mathbb{D}^{3}\right\} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let us take a subset of unit hyper-dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}=\left\{\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}:\left|\hat{A}^{*}\right|_{D}=1, \tilde{\mathbb{A}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}}^{2}\right\} \subset \mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}}^{2} \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the points of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$ and any two intersecting perpendicular directed lines in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Proof. Since $\tilde{\mathbb{A}} \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}, \hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^{*}$ are unit dual vectors and $\widetilde{\mathbb{A}}=\hat{A}+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}$ is a unit hyperdual vector satisfying $|\hat{A}|_{D}=1$ and $\left\langle\hat{A}, \hat{A}^{*}\right\rangle_{D}=0$. According to Theorem 2.1, the unit dual vectors $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^{*}$ represent the directed lines $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, respectively. Using Eq. (2.11), the dual angle $\varphi=\theta+\varepsilon \theta^{*}$ between $\hat{A}$ and $\hat{A}^{*}$ can be given as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\hat{A}, \hat{A}^{*}\right\rangle_{D}=\cos \theta-\varepsilon \theta^{*} \sin \theta=\cos \varphi . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\left\langle\hat{A}, \hat{A}^{*}\right\rangle_{D}=0$, we get $\theta=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\theta^{*}=0$. Thus, the lines $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are perpendicular and intersecting in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

### 3.2. Ruled surfaces constructed by hyper-dual curves on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{\mathbb { D }}_{1}}^{2}$

A ruled surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a surface swept out by a straight line moving along a curve. The various positions of the generating line are called the rulings of the surface. Such a surface can be given by the parametrization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t, v)=\beta(t)+v \gamma(t), \quad t \in I=(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad v \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here; $\beta(t)$ is the base curve of $\Phi(t, v)$ and the unit vector $\gamma(t)$ is the director curve of $\Phi(t, v)$ [15].

A dual curve in $\mathbb{D}^{3}$ can be defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\Gamma}: I \subset \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{D}^{3} \\
& t \longrightarrow \hat{\Gamma}(t)=\left(a_{1}(t)+\varepsilon a_{1}^{*}(t), a_{2}(t)+\varepsilon a_{2}^{*}(t), a_{3}(t)+\varepsilon a_{3}^{*}(t)\right) \\
&=\boldsymbol{a}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}(t), \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ is an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$ and $\boldsymbol{a}(t)=\left(a_{1}(t), a_{2}(t), a_{3}(t)\right), \boldsymbol{a}^{*}(t)=\left(a_{1}^{*}(t), a_{2}^{*}(t), a_{3}^{*}(t)\right) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. If every real valued functions $a_{i}(t)$ and $a_{i}^{*}(t)$ are differentiable for $i=1,2,3$, then the dual space curve $\hat{\Gamma}(t)$ is differentiable. And if $|\hat{\Gamma}(t)|_{D}=1$, then the dual curve $\hat{\Gamma}(t)$ is on unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}[16]$.

Let $\hat{\Gamma}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}^{*}(t)$ be a dual curve on the unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$. Then, the ruled surface corresponding to the dual curve $\hat{\Gamma}(t)$ can be given in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(t, u)=\boldsymbol{a}(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}^{*}(t)+u \boldsymbol{a}(t), \quad t \in I \subset \mathbb{R}, \quad u \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha(t)=\boldsymbol{a}(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}^{*}(t)$ is the base curve and $\boldsymbol{a}(t)$ is the director curve of $\Phi(t, u)$ [10,20].
Definition 3.3. [Hyper-dual curve] A hyper-dual curve in $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^{3}$ can be defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\Gamma}: \quad I \subset \mathbb{R} & \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{D}}^{3} \\
t & \longrightarrow \tilde{\Gamma}(t)=\hat{A}(t)+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}(t) \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I$ is an open interval in $\mathbb{R}$. If $\hat{A}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)$ are differentiable dual curves in $\mathbb{D}^{3}$, then the hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ in $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}^{3}$ is differentiable. And if $|\tilde{\Gamma}(t)|_{H D}=1$, then $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ is a hyper-dual curve on unit hyper-dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{\mathbb { D }}}^{2}$. Moreover if $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ is a hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}}^{2}$ and $\left|\hat{A}^{*}(t)\right|_{D}=1$, then $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ is a hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$.
Theorem 3.4. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)=\hat{A}(t)+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}(t)$ be a hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$. Then, each hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ represents two ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that these surfaces have a common base curve and the position vectors of their director curves are perpendicular.
Proof. Since $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)=\hat{A}(t)+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}(t)$ is a hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}, \hat{A}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)$ are dual curves on unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$. These curves $\hat{A}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)$ can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t) \text { and } \hat{A}^{*}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. The scalar product of $\hat{A}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\hat{A}(t), \hat{A}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{D}=\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)\right\rangle+\varepsilon\left(\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)\right\rangle\right) . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ is a hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$, it is also a hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}}^{2}$, and thus $\left\langle\hat{A}(t), \hat{A}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{D}=0$. This means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)\right\rangle=0 \text { and }\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)\right\rangle=0 . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using Eq. (3.18), the ruled surfaces corresponding to $\hat{A}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)=$ $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)$ can be given, respectively, as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{1}\left(t, u_{1}\right)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)+u_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), & u_{1} \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\Phi_{2}\left(t, u_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)+u_{2} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t), & u_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{3.24}
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha_{1}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)$ and $\alpha_{2}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)$ are the base curves of $\Phi_{1}\left(t, u_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, u_{2}\right)$, respectively. Also, $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$ are the director curves of $\Phi_{1}\left(t, u_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, u_{2}\right)$, recpectively.

For $t=t_{0}$, let us denote $\Phi_{1}\left(t_{0}, u_{1}\right)$ by the line $m_{t_{0}}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t_{0}, u_{2}\right)$ by the line $n_{t_{0}}\left(u_{2}\right)$. It is obvious that $m_{t}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $n_{t}\left(u_{2}\right)$ are, recpectively, the rulings of the surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, u_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, u_{2}\right)$, for all $t \in I$. Moreover, $m_{t_{0}}\left(u_{1}\right)$ is a line corresponding to the unit dual vector $\hat{A}\left(t_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $n_{t_{0}}\left(u_{2}\right)$ is a line corresponding to the unit dual vector $\hat{A}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)$, where $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)$ are the direction vectors of $m_{t_{0}}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $n_{t_{0}}\left(u_{2}\right)$, respectively.

Since $\tilde{\Gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)=\hat{A}\left(t_{0}\right)+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right) \in \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{\mathbb { D }}_{1}}^{2}, \tilde{\Gamma}\left(t_{0}\right)$ represents two intersecting perpendicular lines (which are $m_{t_{0}}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $\left.n_{t_{0}}\left(u_{2}\right)\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let us denote the intersection point of the lines $m_{t}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $n_{t}\left(u_{2}\right)$ by $k(t)$, for all $t \in I$. Then, according to E. Study mapping the moments of the vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$ with respect to the origin $O$ can be given as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)=k(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t),  \tag{3.25}\\
& \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)=k(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t), \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Inserting Eq. (3.25) in Eq. (3.23), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}\left(t, u_{1}\right) & =\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)+u_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \\
& =\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \times\left(k(t) \times \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)\right)+u_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \\
& =\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)\right\rangle k(t)-\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), k(t)\right\rangle \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+u_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \\
& =k(t)-\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), k(t)\right\rangle \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+u_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \\
& =k(t)+\left(u_{1}-\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), k(t)\right\rangle\right) \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \tag{3.27}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)\right\rangle=1$. And inserting $v_{1}=u_{1}-\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), k(t)\right\rangle$ in Eq. (3.27), we also get Eq. (3.23) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)=k(t)+v_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \quad v_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we can obtain Eq. (3.24) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)=k(t)+v_{2} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t), \quad v_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Eqs. (3.28) and (3.29), it can be seen that ruled surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ possess a common base curve that is $k(t)$. And from Eq. (3.22), it can be seen that the position vectors of the director curves $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$ of the surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ are perpendicular, see Fig. 2.


Figure 2. Geometric representation of two ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ corresponding to the hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$.

Theorem 3.5. Let $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)=k(t)+v_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)=k(t)+v_{2} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$ be the ruled surfaces corresponding to the hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)=\hat{A}(t)+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}(t)$ on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$, where $\hat{A}(t)=$ $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)$. Then, the normal vectors of the surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ are perpendicular along the common base curve $k(t)$ if and only if the velocity vector $\frac{d}{d t} k(t)=k^{\prime}(t)$ is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ or $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$.

Proof. The normal vectors of $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ can be obtained, respectively, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{n}_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \times\left(k^{\prime}(t)+v_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}^{\prime}(t)\right),  \tag{3.30}\\
& \boldsymbol{n}_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t) \times\left(k^{\prime}(t)+v_{2} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}^{\prime}(t)\right) . \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ intersect along the common base curve $k(t)$ if $v_{1}=v_{2}=0$, we get the normal vectors $\boldsymbol{n}_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{n}_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ along the base curve $k(t)$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \times k^{\prime}(t),  \tag{3.32}\\
& \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t) \times k^{\prime}(t), \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $t \in I$. Then, we obtain the scalar product of these vectors as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle=-\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), k^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle\left\langle k^{\prime}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)\right\rangle . \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This means that $\boldsymbol{n}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}_{2}$ are perpendicular along $k(t)$ if and only if $\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), k^{\prime}(t)\right\rangle=0$ or $\left\langle k^{\prime}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)\right\rangle=0$.
Proposition 3.6. Consider two ruled surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)=k(t)+v_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)=$ $k(t)+v_{2} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$ corresponding to the hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t) \in \mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$ such that their normal vectors are perpendicular along their common base curve $k(t)$. If $k(t)$ is the principal curve of $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ (resp., $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ ), then $k(t)$ is also the principal curve of $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ (resp., $\left.\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{2}\right)\right)$.

Proof. Let $k(t)$ be a curve both on the surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)=k(t)+v_{1} \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)=$ $k(t)+v_{2} \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$. And assume that the Darboux frames (see [15]) along the curve $k(t)$ on $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ are, respectively, $\left\{\boldsymbol{t}_{1}(t), \boldsymbol{y}_{1}(t), \boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t)\right\}$ and $\left\{\boldsymbol{t}_{2}(t), \boldsymbol{y}_{2}(t), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t)\right\}$, that means

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{t}_{1}(t, 0) & =\boldsymbol{t}_{2}(t)=\frac{d}{d t} k(t)=k^{\prime}(t)=\boldsymbol{t}(t)  \tag{3.35}\\
\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0) & =\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \times k^{\prime}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t) \times \boldsymbol{t}(t)  \tag{3.36}\\
\boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0) & =\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t) \times k^{\prime}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t) \times \boldsymbol{t}(t)  \tag{3.37}\\
\boldsymbol{y}_{1}(t, 0) & =\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0) \times \boldsymbol{t}_{1}(t)=\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0) \times \boldsymbol{t}(t)  \tag{3.38}\\
\boldsymbol{y}_{2}(t, 0) & =\boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0) \times \boldsymbol{t}_{2}(t)=\boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0) \times \boldsymbol{t}(t) \tag{3.39}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0) & =-k_{n_{1}} \boldsymbol{t}(t)-t_{g_{1}} \boldsymbol{y}_{1}(t, 0)  \tag{3.40}\\
\frac{d}{d t} \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0) & =-k_{n_{2}} \boldsymbol{t}(t)-t_{g_{2}} \boldsymbol{y}_{2}(t, 0) \tag{3.41}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k_{n_{1}}, k_{n_{2}}$ are the normal curvatures and $t_{g_{1}}, t_{g_{2}}$ are the geodesic torsions. If $t_{g_{1}}=0$ or $t_{g_{2}}=0$, then $k(t)$ is a principal curve. Since the normal vectors $\boldsymbol{n}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{n}_{2}$ are perpendicular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

By taking the derivative of this equation, we get

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle=\left\langle\frac{d}{d t} \boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0), \frac{d}{d t} \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle .
$$

Using Eqs. (3.40-42), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle-k_{n_{1}} \boldsymbol{t}(t)-t_{g_{1}} \boldsymbol{y}_{1}(t, 0), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle+\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0),-k_{n_{2}} \boldsymbol{t}(t)-t_{g_{2}} \boldsymbol{y}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

And since $\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0), \boldsymbol{t}(t)\right\rangle=\left\langle\boldsymbol{t}(t), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle=0$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
-t_{g_{1}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{y}_{1}(t, 0), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle-t_{g_{2}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t, 0), \boldsymbol{y}_{2}(t, 0)\right\rangle=0 \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-t_{g_{1}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{y}_{1}(t), \boldsymbol{n}_{2}(t)\right\rangle=t_{g_{2}}\left\langle\boldsymbol{n}_{1}(t), \boldsymbol{y}_{2}(t)\right\rangle \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a result, if $t_{g_{1}}=0$ (resp. $t_{g_{2}}=0$ ), then $t_{g_{2}}=0$ (resp. $t_{g_{1}}=0$ ). And this completes the proof.

## 4. Examples of ruled surfaces constructed by curves on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$

Example 4.1. Let us take the hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)=\hat{A}(t)+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}(t)$, where $\hat{A}(t)=$ $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)$. Here;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)=(\cos t \cos 2 t, \cos t \sin 2 t, \sin t)  \tag{4.1}\\
& \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)=(\sin t \sin 2 t,-\sin t \cos 2 t, 0)  \tag{4.2}\\
& \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)=(\sin t \cos 2 t, \sin t \sin 2 t,-\cos t)  \tag{4.3}\\
& \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)=(-\cos t \sin 2 t, \cos t \cos 2 t, 0) \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|\hat{A}(t)|_{D}=\left|\hat{A}^{*}(t)\right|_{D}=1$ and $\left\langle\hat{A}(t), \hat{A}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{D}=0 ; \tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ is a hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$, and $\hat{A}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)$ are dual curves on unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$. Using Eqs. (3.23) and
(3.24), the ruled surfaces corresponding to the dual curves $\hat{A}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)$ are obtained, respectively, as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{1}\left(t, u_{1}\right) & =\left(\sin ^{2} t \cos 2 t, \sin ^{2} t \sin 2 t,-\sin t \cos t\right) \\
& +u_{1}(\cos t \cos 2 t, \cos t \sin 2 t, \sin t)  \tag{4.5}\\
\Phi_{2}\left(t, u_{2}\right) & =\left(\cos ^{2} t \cos 2 t, \cos ^{2} t \sin 2 t, \sin t \cos t\right) \\
& +u_{2}(\sin t \cos 2 t, \sin t \sin 2 t,-\cos t), \tag{4.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t \in I=(0, \pi)$ and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. For $t=t_{0}, \Phi_{1}\left(t_{0}, u\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t_{0}, u\right)$ represent the lines $m_{t_{0}}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $n_{t_{0}}\left(u_{2}\right)$, respectively. Moreover, $m_{t_{0}}\left(u_{1}\right)$ is a line corresponding to the unit dual vector $\hat{A}\left(t_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}\left(t_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}\left(t_{0}\right)$, and $n_{t_{0}}\left(u_{2}\right)$ is a line corresponding to the unit dual vector $\hat{A}^{*}\left(t_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}\left(t_{0}\right)$.

For all $t \in I$, the intersection point of the lines $m_{t}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $n_{t}\left(u_{2}\right)$ will be obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
k(t)=(\cos 2 t, \sin 2 t, 0), \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1}=\cos t$ and $u_{2}=\sin t$. Using Eqs. (3.28) and (3.29), these ruled surfaces can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)=(\cos 2 t, \sin 2 t, 0)+v_{1}(\cos t \cos 2 t, \cos t \sin 2 t, \sin t),  \tag{4.8}\\
& \Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)=(\cos 2 t, \sin 2 t, 0)+v_{2}(\sin t \cos 2 t, \sin t \sin 2 t,-\cos t), \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{1}, v_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. From Eqs. (4.8) and (4.9), it can be seen that the ruled surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ have a common base curve $k(t)=(\cos 2 t, \sin 2 t, 0)$. Using Eqs. (4.1) and (4.3), we get $\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)\right\rangle=0$. Thus, the position vectors of the director curves $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$ of the surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ are perpendicular.

The velocity vector $k^{\prime}(t)=(-2 \sin 2 t, 2 \cos 2 t, 0)$ is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$. Thus, according to Theorem 3.5 the normal vectors of $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ are perpendicular along $k(t)$.
$\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ represent Möbius strips. For intervals $0 \leq t \leq \pi,-0.3 \leq v_{1} \leq 0.3$ and $-0.3 \leq v_{2} \leq 0.3$, these two Möbius strips can be drawn as in Fig. 3.


Figure 3. Geometric representation of two Möbius strips in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ corresponding to the hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{\mathbb { D }}_{1}}^{2}$.

Example 4.2. Let us take the hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)=\hat{A}(t)+\varepsilon^{*} \hat{A}^{*}(t)$, where $\hat{A}(t)=$ $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)$. Here;

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)=(0,0,1),  \tag{4.10}\\
& \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)=(\sin t,-\cos t, 0),  \tag{4.11}\\
& \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)=(\cos t, \sin t, 0),  \tag{4.12}\\
& \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)=(-t \sin t, t \cos t, 0) . \tag{4.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|\hat{A}(t)|_{D}=\left|\hat{A}^{*}(t)\right|_{D}=1$ and $\left\langle\hat{A}(t), \hat{A}^{*}(t)\right\rangle_{D}=0 ; \tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ is a curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$, and $\hat{A}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)$ are dual curves on unit dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$. Using Eqs. (3.23) and (3.24), the ruled surfaces corresponding to the dual curves $\hat{A}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{1}(t)$ and $\hat{A}^{*}(t)=\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{a}_{3}(t)$ are obtained, respectively, as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{1}\left(t, u_{1}\right)=(\cos t, \sin t, 0)+u_{1}(0,0,1),  \tag{4.14}\\
& \Phi_{2}\left(t, u_{2}\right)=(0,0, t)+u_{2}(\cos t, \sin t, 0), \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where $t \in I=(0, \pi)$ and $u_{1}, u_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$. From the Theorem 3.4, the ruled surfaces $\Phi_{1}\left(t, u_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, u_{2}\right)$ can be also obtained, respectively, as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)=(\cos t, \sin t, t)+v_{1}(0,0,1), & v_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \\
\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)=(\cos t, \sin t, t)+v_{2}(\cos t, \sin t, 0), & v_{2} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{4.17}
\end{array}
$$

where $k(t)=(\cos t, \sin t, t)$ is a common base curve of $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$. Since $\left\langle\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t), \boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)\right\rangle=0$, the position vectors of the director curves $\boldsymbol{a}_{0}(t)=(0,0,1)$ and $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)=$ $(\cos t, \sin t, 0)$ are perpendicular.

The velocity vector $k^{\prime}(t)=(-\sin t, \cos t, 1)$ is perpendicular to $\boldsymbol{a}_{2}(t)$. Thus, according to Theorem 3.5 the normal vectors of $\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ are perpendicular along $k(t)$.
$\Phi_{1}\left(t, v_{1}\right)$ and $\Phi_{2}\left(t, v_{2}\right)$ represent, respectively, cylindrical and helicoid surfaces. They intersect along a helix curve $k(t)=(\cos t, \sin t, t)$. For intervals $-\pi \leq t \leq \pi,-10 \leq v_{1} \leq 10$ and $-10 \leq v_{2} \leq 10$, these surfaces can be drawn as in Fig. 4.


Figure 4. Geometric representation of two ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ corresponding to the hyper-dual curve $\tilde{\Gamma}(t)$ on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$.

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, some basic concepts of hyper-dual numbers are given by using dual numbers. Using these concepts, we have given the definition of a set $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$, which is a subset of unit hyper-dual sphere $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}}^{2}$. We show that there exists a one-to-one correspondence between the points of $\mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{D}_{1}}^{2}$ and any two intersecting perpendicular directed lines in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Moreover, we show that each hyper-dual curve on $\mathbb{S}_{\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_{1}}^{2}$ represents two ruled surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that these ruled surfaces intersect along a common base curve.
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